

Common Law Affidavit

Response to "Affirmation of facts to be True" by david-ronald: roberts submitted 12/18/2024

NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL, NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT, APPLICABLE TO ALL SUCCESSORS AND ASSIGNS

now comes, I, ross: marley, a sentient living, lawful man on the land, affiant, victim, witness, and in The American First Republic (AFR) natural Person, hereinafter, CLAIMANT and affiant, making this sworn testimony by common law affidavit in response to "Affirmation of facts to be True" by david-ronald: roberts submitted 12/18/2024, giving notice of constitutional violations, of my own free will, and being Affiant hereby affirming, declaring and swearing, under God, my oath and under the penalties of bearing false witness and of perjury under the laws of The United States of America and of the State of Ohio that I am of legal age and of sound mind and hereby attest that the statements, averments, and information contained in this AFFIDAVIT are true and correct to the best of my knowledge;

through serial acts of negligence, fraudulent practices, and actions done with purpose, malice, intent, willfully and in concert by the following;

david-ronald: roberts, a man; DAVID RONALD ROBERTS in The American First Republic Person and all variations thereof;

Hereinafter, RESPONDENT;

this notice of statement by AFFIDAVIT is directed to RESPONDENT and all with vested interest; notice to agent is notice to principal, notice to principal is notice to agent, applications to all successors and assigns; you are hereby served notice by virtue of all constitutionally secured rights in original organic common law jurisdiction as is the right of CLAIMANT and all beneficiaries of the organic laws of The United States of America;

- I. this AFFIDAVIT requires RESPONDENT's written rebuttal to claimant, in kind, via your sworn, signed, and notarized affidavit, using true fact, valid law, and evidence to support your rebuttal of the specific subject matter stated in this AFFIDAVIT, as outlined, complete, and specific to each and every point of the subject matter stated herein and must be received by claimant within (30) thirty days of RESPONDENT's receipt of this AFFIDAVIT, hereinafter also, REBUTTAL; RESPONDENT is hereby noticed that your failure to respond fully, as stipulated and rebutt, with particularity and specificity, anything with which you disagree in this AFFIDAVIT, is your lawful, legal, and binding tacit agreement with and admission to the fact that everything in this AFFIDAVIT is true, correct, legal, lawful, and fully binding upon RESPONDENT in any Court, without your protest or objection or that of those who represent you;
- II. this AFFIDAVIT requires the RESPONDENT's REBUTTAL; any other reply not complete and conforming to section 1 of this AFFIDAVIT regardless of the subject matter shall be considered false, fraudulent, and non-reply; RESPONDENT's failure to respond point by

point and item by item to the facts presented in this sworn AFFIDAVIT shall stipulate by virtue of tacit assent that RESPONDENT accepts AFFIDAVIT as facts stated by CLAIMANT;

III. Notice of certain facts

1 CLAIMANT was elected Moderator Pro-Tem September 24, 2023 (Reynoldsburg Ohio) and was elevated to moderator for Ohio State Jural Assembly (OSJA) when then moderator, Tim Picket, left; CLAIMANT's first meeting as moderator was February 20, 2024;

2 OSJA by-laws under "**Ohio State Jural Assembly Officers and their Duties**" define the role of moderator as; "Moderator – Must ensure that the Ohio State Jural Assembly, as a whole, and each of the investigative committees, functions effectively and efficiently. The Moderator holds copies of the signed membership documents. The Moderator Foreman shall have the authority to administer covenant oaths and affirmations, *appoint "Boards of Review"* and shall appoint the Ohio De Jure Grand Jury Commissioner. (BLUE INK = IN ORIGINAL BY LAWS)";

3 in the OSJA by-laws under "**The Ohio State Jural Assembly Serves These Primary Functions:**" "6. Establish a 5 member 'Board of Review' for infractions of these by-laws by any member's() failure to live up to this Operation and Functions=by-laws and expectations of the Ohio State Jural Assembly";

4 OSJA by-laws state "all Ohio State Jural Assembly members have autographed under oath or affirmation the 'Jural Covenant of Office'";

5 whereas RESPONDENT did not have an autographed 'Jural Covenant of Office' on file with OSJA as of 12/9/2024, he could not have claimed to be a member of OSJA;

6 RESPONDENT is a signatory to the 'Bilateral Social Compact Agreement by and between the People of the State of Ohio' (Ohio Compact), in The United States of America;

7 signatories of the Ohio Compact have entered into "Reciprocal Acknowledgement of Existence By and Between, the people of The American First Republic in The United States of America And The people of the State of Ohio", published in The American First Republic's (AFR) publication of record accessible at <https://thefirstamericanrepublic.press/diplomaticrecognition/> ;

8 AFR has jurisdiction in matters concerning all signatories of the Ohio Compact and or Bilateral Social Compact Agreement by and between the people in The United States of America;

9 RESPONDENT and CLAIMANT are also currently signatories to AFR's national social compact following a process to all willing at <https://theafr.org/>;

10 RESPONDENT has plagiarized Jennifer Goodwin's "Law Common to i", offered classes for a fee based on Jennifer Goodwin's work, and failed to assist members in achieving a beneficial end when they have paid him to help them apply his plagiarized framework to their situation;

11 RESPONDENT's strategies and process to legally challenge tax codes enforced by the UNITED STATES CORPORATION which provides government services led to the loss of his own home;

12 Several following RESPONDENT'S advice have not fared any better as RESPONDENT has provided advice to the detriment of at least three members of OSJA, leading to their loss of liberty and property;

13 RESPONDENT has presented himself as a lawful authority under the title of "Continental Marshall" aka (CM) to OSJA, signatories of the Ohio Compact, and various agencies and departments of the aforementioned UNITED STATES CORPORATION;

14 there is no mentioned of, nor powers granted to, an office bearing the title "Continental Marshall" in OSJA's by-laws, the Ohio Compact, AFR's national social compact, nor the Republic for the United States of America (RUSA);

15 RESPONDENT claims he was sworn in to the office of CM by robert gillian, acting as "Chief Justice of the Michigan Grand Jury Assembly";

16 no verifiable record or evidence exists providing authority for the issuance of an oath of any office by anyone outside of Ohio for OSJA;

17 the "Michigan Grand Jural Assembly" is titled as the Michigan General Jural Assembly (MGJA); MGJA are the originators of "National Assembly" whose website may be found at <https://national-assembly.net/>;

18 Ohio, and therefore OSJA are not recognized by the MGJA organized National Assembly; therefore, without recognition, any authority issued, even if valid at one point in time would no longer be recognized by the issuing authority, and void;

19 RESPONDENT was recorded at a meeting of the Lorain County Board of Health, broadcast on "Steel News with Ann Vandersteel", wearing a law enforcement badge of authority stating "I am a continental marshal and I am here to enforce the law..." (@ 1:26:05) <https://rumble.com/v5182cu-6.12.2024-steel-news-russian-warships-brics-trumpflynn-2024-j6-political-pr.html>;

20 RESPONDENT's presentation and presentment as law enforcement led to the labeling of OSJA as a group of sovereign citizens by local press;

21 multiple members of OSJA have been contacted by the Federal Bureau of Investigation, as well as the United States Marshal Service regarding RESPONDENT;

22 CLAIMANT and members of OSJA have been under the impression RESPONDENT had lawful authority to arrest anyone for violations of law if RESPONDENT unilaterally determined law had been violated, and have existed under a state of duress for years;

23 on 8/27/2024 OSJA voted to allow non-members to attend OSJA elections on 9/20/2024 only as observers; non-members were forbidden from participating;

24 on 9/20/2024 RESPONDENT, a non-member of OSJA, was recorded as interrupting the proceedings during the voice vote for moderator;

25 2 officers were elected for OSJA via voice vote on 9/20/2024; amanda quimper as moderator, CLAIMANT as moderator pro tem, and michael taylor as Treasurer in violation of OSJA by-laws; all other offices were left vacant at that time;

26 OSJA by-laws state under **Election of Ohio State Jural Assembly Officers:** "Election of officers shall take place annually in September **by paper ballot** by the assembly members in good standing. To hold an office in the State Jural Assembly the member must be eighteen (18) years of age or older and a member in good standing for six (6) months. Cannot be serving as a de facto corporate elected public official, cannot be holding a title of nobility or be an attorney holding membership of the BAR; Must autograph, under oath or affirmation, the 'Jural Covenant of Office,' 'Declaration of Independence' and 'Declaration of Unalienable Rights', purple ink documents cir. 2020";

27 the violation of OSJA by-laws, by conducting an election without paper ballots, in addition to an interruption by a non-member presenting themselves in the capacity of a CM, placing members under duress, led to the raising of objections to the election results;

28 RESPONDENT stated in an interview on *Steel News with Ann Vanderteel*, "RUSA is not an organization, it is the lawful republic"; @45:15 <https://rumble.com/v4zy9tr-steel-news-wann-vandersteel-standing-up-the-republic.html>; bringing into question RESPONDENT's claims that there is no intent to change the condition of OSJA or "The People" as defined in compact;

29 following the election on 9/20 RESPONDENT has been in the same room as amanda quimper, OSJA moderator, effectively running OSJA meetings; meaning OSJA business was being influenced and or conducted by a non-member;

30 CLAIMANT and RESPONDENT brought communication to AFR for review in the capacity of "one of the people in compact" and is the explicit right of any signatory of the Ohio Compact on 11/26/2024;

31 AFR conducted a fact-finding inquiry to determine if violations of law had occurred and if mediation was necessary;

32 RESPONDENT's claims AFR and those participating in fact-finding were conducting a criminal prosecution are completely unfounded; no criminal charges had been issued, and no affidavit or affirmation of facts had been submitted; fact-finding may also be considered an investigation and is an element of the initiation of due process;

33 RESPONDENT confessed to interrupting OSJA elections in his capacity as "Continental Marshall" (CM) held on 9/20/2024, during a recorded fact finding inquiry with The American First Republic via their telegram platform on 11/26/2024, stating "as marshal, continental marshal, I interrupted the proceedings and said c'mon guys somebody else stand up and be the moderator";

34 at the end of the initial fact-finding inquiry hosted by AFR on 11/26/2024 RESPONDENT stated "there's only 5 people on here with a complaint, claiming an unfair election, the assembly as a whole has to vote, has to be brought to the floor and discussed, that is not something that can be settled here by the AFR; the AFR can decide whether the compact was in violation [violated], but they cannot interfere in the procedurals of OSJA";

35 Sean Beller, governor of AFR, agreed at that time, and stated "the AFR can provide a platform for equality of speech and fact-finding, and a people in compact can alter or abolish"; RESPONDENT agreed;

36 based on RESPONDENT's confession and violation of OSJA by-laws on elections, AFR agreed to host an additional fact-finding meeting for OSJA on AFR's platform on 12/3/2024 in order to provide a neutral space and equality of speech; the meeting was to commence at 8:15pm EST immediately following OSJA's State meeting to allow for maximum participation of OSJA members; the meeting was incorrectly announced as a trial by moderator, amanda quimper, on OSJA communication channels as an agenda item;

37 during the initial 11/26/2024 AFR fact-finding RESPONDENT stated: "they were instructed that if they are making a claim that the claim should be made in an affirmation under the penalties of perjury; they have not produced anything"; CLAIMANT authored and sent an affidavit to RESPONDENT prior to the follow-up meeting on 12/3/2024;

38 during the 12/3/2024 fact-finding call hosted by AFR, after over an hour of deliberation, the moderator, amanda quimper, made a motion to conduct a re-election via paper ballot to be held on 12/17/2024, the motion passed;

39 CLAIMANT, and OSJA scribe, danielle boxler-maxwell, agreed to have a private conversation in preparation for an additional unofficial group meeting to take place on

12/10/2024 where the sole business to be discussed was on finalizing the details of the paper ballot reelection;

40 a second motion was made to "hold harmless" all OSJA members for all actions preceding the 12/3/2024 fact-finding meeting hosted by AFR; the motion passed;

41 on 12/6/2024 both amanda quimper, and danielle boxler-maxwell, tendered their official resignations from OSJA offices;

42 CLAIMANT became the lawful moderator for OSJA by process of succession being precedent and vote held on 12/3/2024 in fact-finding call hosted by AFR upon resignation of the acting moderator, amanda quimper, on 12/6/2024;

43 on 12/10/2024 lisa hester, identifying herself as bailiff for OSJA, interrupted the proceedings in an attempt to bring a motion to the floor that was not on the agenda and prior to roll call being conducted; the motion and manner the motion was brought forth were out of order; it was communicated she would have the opportunity to bring the motion to the floor in the future, but she was insistent on continuing to be a disruption to the set agenda and proceedings; due to her clear insistence on being out of order counter to Roberts Rules of Order, she was removed from the meeting to maintain order of the meeting;

44 OSJA by-laws state the role of bailiff as "12. Bailiff - Maintains order during Assembly meetings."; her actions were counter to the prescribed role of Bailiff according to OSJA by-laws;

45 julie, laura, danielle, and lisa from Medina were all sharing the same zoom access point; meaning all four were removed when Lisa failed to act in accordance with Roberts Rules of Order, necessitating her dismissal from the paper ballot re-election planning meeting on 12/10/2024;

47 RESPONDENT was out of order in attempting to dictate the agenda for the meeting that was not set; he again interrupted proceedings by claiming a vote needed to be taken on a motion to censor that was not recognized for business and was not on the agenda for 12/10/2024;

48 RESPONDENT's and other member's actions are identical to the cause and reasoning later given to censor Kat Oscar on 12/17/2024 and remove her from all OSJA communication channels; i.e. interrupting the set agenda for the meeting;

49 CLAIMANT asserted his role as lawful moderator for OSJA upon amanda quimper's resignation as moderator; RESPONDENT stated "no you (referring to CLAIMANT) lost that, you made that clear that nothing counts after the 19th (September), so you lost that position; we have a lawful officer that can step up into that position;" RESPONDENT's statement is an admission of an attempt to remove and replace a duly elected officer of OSJA in the midst of

that officer (CLAIMANT) moving toward a peaceful remedy that had been sanctioned via vote originally brought to the floor by amanda quimper, acting as OSJA moderator;

50 during colloquy with michael taylor regarding Article 49 of the Ohio Compact on 12/10/2024, RESPONDENT again interrupted the proceeding out of order and stated, "I want to correct the record on that bi-lateral social compact, that compa-article 49 does not apply to us, it applies to the de facto government; that it will be a paper ballot, that has nothing to do with us; and you guys keep referencing that it does; I wrote the bi-latera- I know what it says";

51 RESPONDENT's assertions related to the Ohio Compact are completely without merit, fact, or honor; the Conclusion of the Articles of the Ohio Compact state "...The People understand that our role in the social compact is to live up to this agreement, accept consequences when we do not, and continue to work to become a more responsible People...";

52 RESPONDENT's assertion 'de facto government' is responsible to uphold an agreement bearing his signature, that is in Reciprocal Acknowledgement of Existence with AFR, where RESPONDENT is also a signatory to AFR's national social compact, is further evidence of a pattern of behavior undermining not only OSJA operations, but the very document defining "We, The People in our unalienable image, men and women assembled in the State of Ohio...", i.e. the Ohio Compact;

53 RESPONDENT again attempted to bring a vote to the floor, directly counter to OSJA by-laws and purpose of the set agenda for the 12/10/2024 reelection planning meeting;

54 a precedent does not establish law, it establishes a custom which continues until an objection is rendered related to the express will of the people presented in writing; in the case of OSJA, its by-laws and the Ohio Compact are the documents upon which all actions must accord; for example, a precedent was set allowing abortion by custom, until an objection was raised and the "right to abortion" claimed was not found in the US Constitution; a precedent was set giving a "Chevron deference" to interpret law to executive branch agencies, until the claim of the deference was overturned due to the power not being found in the US constitution; the "will of the people" is not absolute, and is only absolute in a democracy, which would be a "Tyranny of the Majority";

55 RESPONDENT's assertion of OSJA acting in a 50%+1 mindset as the "will of the majority" is further evidence of a pattern of behavior leading OSJA into a "Tyranny of the Majority" regardless of the express will of the people as expressed in OSJA by-laws and Ohio Compact;

56 RESPONDENT's assertion AFR conducted a trial are completely without merit and have contributed to the confusion and lack of comity now pervading OSJA; AFR's sole action was that of fact-finding and mediating a peaceful resolution; AFR was instrumental in providing a venue where signatories of the Ohio Compact and OSJA members affirmatively voted to conduct a

re-election by paper ballot in accordance with OSJA by-laws, as well as to “hold harmless” all OSJA members for everything preceding the fact-finding meeting hosted by AFR on 12/3/2024;

57 RESPONDENT misrepresented the initiation of due process in fact-finding resulting in a peaceful resolution as a criminal trial in order to delegitimize the actions taken with AFR, and destabilize OSJA membership for his own ends at the expense of a lawful assembly of men and women in compact;

58 following the 12/10/2024 meeting to determine how the reelection was to be conducted CLAIMANT posted the reelection agenda on OSJA communication channels in order to proceed with the lawful reelection of OSJA officers on 12/17/2024; CLAIMANT was prepared to hold a reelection where paper ballots could be presented via video on zoom, or notarized absentee ballots attested to provided to county CoS officers, and presented on the call;

59 after the 12/17/2024 reelection agenda was posted RESPONDENT unilaterally removed the agenda posted by the lawful OSJA moderator, CLAIMANT;

60 RESPONDENT also unilaterally removed CLAIMANT, the duly elected OSJA moderator, as well as 2 other members, from all OSJA communication channels without notice or OSJA vote;

61 RESPONDENT interfered with the lawful reelection of OSJA officers;

62 RESPONDENT's actions are a violation of Article 8 of the Ohio Compact; “The People agree that no inhabitant, person, or any of The People regardless of status shall be taken, imprisoned, or deprived of freehold, rightful liberties, **outlawed, exiled, or in any manner destroyed or deprived of life, liberty, or property, but by the law written within the State’s statutes as derived from this Bilateral Social Compact Agreement**”;

63 RESPONDENT was advised to restore all members he unilaterally removed, as well as the agenda set for 12/17/2024 posted by CLAIMANT, the lawful moderator of OSJA;

64 RESPONDENT was advised to register any objections to the reelection, and offer possible remedy, at the lawful OSJA meeting to be held by CLAIMANT, the lawful moderator for OSJA on 12/17/2024;

65 RESPONDENT did not restore the unlawfully removed members he unilaterally ejected from all OSJA communication channels, nor did he (nor any other member) register any objection at the lawful reelection meeting which was held on 12/17/2024 by CLAIMANT, the lawful moderator for OSJA;

66 after RESPONDENT unlawfully ejected CLAIMANT, and 2 other members from OSJA communication channels, as well as the 12/17/2024 reelection agenda set by CLAIMANT, the

lawful moderator for OSJA, RESPONDENT then conspired to post an unlawful alternate agenda on behalf of OSJA;

67 the alternate agenda began, "I. We, the One People of OSJA, make this announcement to all of the People of Ohio State Jural Assembly, Free Inhabitations of this great Republic.";

68 no OSJA vote was taken for such an announcement, is false, and not being authorized by "the One People of OSJA", anything contained within the announcement that followed has no validity, force, or effect in law, other than to serve as prima facie evidence of an unlawful 'de facto' assertion by RESPONDENT and those he has engaged with in seditious conspiracy against "the One People of OSJA" as defined in the Ohio Compact and OSJA by-laws;

69 continuing, the announcement reads:

"a. Ross Marley/Moderator (2023-2024) of OSJA has acted outside of his Jural Covenant Oath of Office and has abused his power of Moderator. Due to the ongoing bad behavior, Ross Marley is being censored and is ineligible to perform the duties of Moderator at the next meeting on 12/17/2024. (Review Meeting Minutes video of 12/10/2024)

b. There is a motion on the floor, with a 2nd to call forth a vote by the One People to censor Ross Marley for 90 days. During this period, a Board of Review can be convened and conducted to determine his future status with OSJA.

i. Ross is involved in a small group of insiders of OSJA, that have plotted to create a set of circumstances that brought this claim against the good people of OSJA as a whole for their personal fake need to call for a re-election. Ross in his pursuit of this endeavor has violated his Oath of Office and has trespassed on the One People of OSJA. He has harmed the good will and reputation of the One People's as a whole and driving good members away from the Assembly with full disregard for the wellbeing of OSJA as a whole.

ii. A list of violations will be read on 12/17/2024 before the final vote is to be taken.";

70 CLAIMANT categorically denies violating his Jural Covenant Oath of Office and accusations of abuse of power as Moderator; an assertion of a violation does not make it so;

71 no motion to censor was recognized, and only CLAIMANT, the lawful moderator, is authorized to appoint a board of review according to OSJA by-laws;

72 raising an objection to conduct and activity for an election that is counter to OSJA by-laws, as well as the Ohio Compact, cannot be characterized as a violation to CLAIMANT's oath, nor a trespass on the One People of OSJA;

73 working with a People in Compact in reciprocal acknowledgement of existence to achieve a peaceful resolution that was moved by an acting OSJA moderator (amanda quimper), seconded, and agreed to by OSJA membership in order to remain in accord with the express

will of the One People of OSJA as defined within OSJA by-laws as well as the Ohio Compact cannot be considered a violation to CLAIMANT's oath, nor a trespass on the One People of OSJA;

74 activity harming "the good will and reputation of the One People's as a whole and driving good members away from the Assembly with full disregard for the wellbeing of OSJA as a whole", are those engaged in seditious conspiracy against OSJA's by-laws, Ohio Compact, and the express vote of OSJA membership to conduct reelections by paper ballot to remain in accord with the expressed written will of "the One People of OSJA" as defined in the Ohio Compact and OSJA by-laws;

75 a list of violations to be read before a final vote to censor, without notice of those violations being defined, substantiated, and sent to CLAIMANT is a clear violation of due process, OSJA by-laws, and the Ohio Compact; in addition, concluding a lawful officer is being censored and is ineligible to perform the duties of Moderator is confession of meting out a consequence before any notice, investigation, or vote had occurred;

76 continuing, RESPONDENT's unlawful alternate agenda reads:

"II. Due to this fiasco, Charmaine Bassett of Lucas County CoS (Toledo) will be acting as Emergency Moderator for this meeting of 12/17/2024.

a. Charmaine has volunteered to step up as the acting State Moderator for this Meeting of 12/17/2024. In doing so, we can end this drama, and blight that has been placed upon the good name of the Ohio State Jural Assembly. We then can raise OSJA back to the statue of one of the main leaders in the Assembly movement happening across the United States of America.

i. She will conduct the Meeting as the Emergency Moderator.

ii. Danielle Maxwell will be stepping in as emergency Scribe for the evening.

iii. Sam of Cuyahoga COS will be acting as emergency Bailiff for the meeting.";

77 neither RESPONDENT, signatories of the Ohio Compact, nor any other member of OSJA may unilaterally appoint themselves into a position of authority for OSJA; these unilateral appointments contained within this unlawful alternate agenda have no force or effect in law;

78 RESPONDENT's actions effectively prevented many from being nominated for any position, including moderator, and being present during the lawful election held on 12/17/2024; and lawfully elected to such position by paper ballot, equating to attempted insurrection and sedition;

79 on 12/17/2024, CLAIMANT was lawfully elected to the position of moderator via paper ballot in accordance with OSJA by-laws and in accordance with the previous precedent, motion and vote, witnessed and recorded on AFR, with OSJA on 12/3/2024;

80 on 12/17/2024 the unlawfully appointed moderator named an item on their unlawful agenda titled:

“emergency vote to censor 3 members for 90 days until a board of review can be completed; a motion and a second were already on the floor on December 10th, 2024 to remove ross marley, charges to be read before a vote is to be taken”;

81 OSJA by-laws under “Removal of an Assembly Member from the Ohio State Jural Assembly” state :

Disregard for the Jural Covenant of Office Oath shall be grounds for the member to be **investigated and evaluated by a five-member review board**. Removal from the Assembly may be affected by the **recommendation of the board of review** and voted on by the assembly. **These review boards will be appointed by the Moderator** for that incident only and dissolved after the review and decision is acknowledged by the Assembly;

82 a vote to remove a member prior to an investigation and evaluation by a five-member review board is a violation of OSJA by-laws; censor does not appear on the Ohio Compact, nor OSJA by-laws, and the only one authorized to appoint a board of review is CLAIMANT, the lawfully elected moderator for OSJA; in addition, a motion to censor also does not exist in Roberts Rules of Order and cannot be recognized; serving as further evidence of RESPONDENT holding all of OSJA hostage to his imagination;

83 the motion itself is not in order, has no force or effect in law, and RESPONDENT had already unlawfully removed CLAIMANT from OSJA communication channels prior to this unlawful motion being brought to the floor of RESPONDENT’s unlawful meeting;

84 the unlawful motion, designated “[Exhibit A]”, attached to RESPONDENT’s “Affirmation of facts to be True” submitted 12/18/2024, and posted on AFR’s telegram as a publication of public record states:

“I make a motion...”;

85 RESPONDENT is the one attesting to the motion, and thus he is responsible for perjury should any item fail to be true;

86 in the unlawful motion the reason given to censor is stated as “For Crimes against OSJA as the Assembly as a Whole”; nowhere is a crime defined outside of the use of the word “crime”;

87 in the unlawful motion, under subsection I, RESPONDENT attempts to justify his accusation of a crime as:

“Ross has been derelict in his duties as pro tem moderator of OSJA since the night of september 20,2024, he has been missing in action.”;

88 here RESPONDENT acknowledges CLAIMANT was lawfully elected as moderator pro tem, and therefore became the current lawful moderator for OSJA upon amanda quimper's resignation of OSJA acting moderator on 12/6/2024;

89 the by-laws state to role of moderator pro tem as the following:
"2. Moderator- Pro Tem - Generally supports the Moderator, and in the absence of the Moderator, assumes all responsibilities of the Moderator.";

90 no evidence exists the acting moderator, amanda quimper was absent, nor were any requests made requiring activation of moderator pro tem from 9/20/2024 to 12/6/2024;

91 in the unlawful motion, under subsection II, RESPONDENT states:
"II. Ross has gone outside the Jurisdiction of OSJA in a grievance of a claim of a fraudulent election on 9/20/2024 without first exercising his rights under mediation inside of the Assembly, with a Board of Review procedure.
A. In order for the Assembly as a whole to evaluate his claim as being True, correct, in Evidence. He would have needed to make a claim with the body of OSJA as a whole, which he did not do so!
B. Therefore, he denied this Assembly the opportunity to show its members correctness, fairness, and justice to the body of members of the Assembly as a whole.";


92. throughout RESPONDENT's unlawful meeting on 12/17/2024 a consistent reference is made to "Committees of Safety"; Nowhere in the OSJA by-laws will anyone find "Committees of Safety" mentioned; The only reference to "Committees of Safety" are to be found in the Ohio Compact, which is in Reciprocal Acknowledgment of Existence with AFR; OSJA being the legislative body for "The People" in compact must conform at all times to the jurisdiction of "The People" as they are defined in the Ohio Compact;

93 mediation is not mentioned, defined, nor outlined in OSJA by-laws; therefore there is no requirement or proclaimed "right" CLAIMANT must have exercised prior to bringing his grievance to other "People in compact";

94 furthermore, equality of speech is all that was sought with other "People in compact"; the assembly as a whole, present during AFR's fact-finding inquiry, found CLAIMANT's claim of election being conducted counter to OSJA by-laws was true, and justified the acting moderator, amanda quimper to move for a reelection, with OSJA members present agreeing and voting to hold a reelection on 12/17/2024 by paper ballot;

95 it is RESPONDENT that "[has] denied this Assembly the opportunity to show its members correctness, fairness, and justice to the body of members of the Assembly as a whole"; RESPONDENT's pattern of conduct and behavior has demonstrated denial of opportunity to members of the Assembly as a whole by all of his unilateral actions taken under the guise of a "Continental Marshal" being a title of nobility, and continues to do so;

- 96 in the unlawful motion, under subsection II, RESPONDENT states:
“III. On two separate occasions he took his grievance outside of OSJA without first bring[ing] a claim to the Assembly as a whole, under the by-laws of OSJA, under the section of a Board of Review.
A. This is a grievous violation of the authority of any Assembly of the people.
B. This places him in serious peril where there is no return from.”;
- 97 point numbers 92-95 above, have already addressed this claim; subsection III is without merit, reason, justification in law, or honor;
- 98 in the unlawful motion, under subsection II, RESPONDENT states:
“IV. Ross has violated his Oath of Office of Jural covenant. He has not act[ed] in a manner that is becoming of a member of the Ohio State Jural Assembly.
A. Ross on his own volition removed proprietary Zoom Video of 9/20/2024 Assembly Meeting and gave it to AFR (American Free Republic) without the permission of the OSJA as a whole. Removal of private property without OSJA as a whole's permission is serious offence.
B. The meeting of 12/10/2024 Ross Marley did not act in a manner of a Moderator, but that of a dictator, self-righteous with total disregard for the will of the Assemble as a whole. There was a call for [several] votes to be taken, and Ross refused to bring any of the vote to the floor.”;
- 99 Not once does RESPONDENT provide anything other than an assertion of a violation of CLAIMANT's Oath of Office of Jural covenant; RESPONDENT never corroborates his allegations with the language found in the oath itself; and title “American Free Republic” is not a party to any proceeding.
- 100 CLAIMANT denies removing the proprietary zoom meeting of 9/20/2024 and giving it to AFR (The America First Republic); the only evidence that exists of anyone posting the 9/20/2024 election where RESPONDENT admitted to interrupting the proceedings in a foreign capacity as Continental Marshal title of nobility in direct violation of OSJA by-laws, is when sam strong forwarded what CLAIMANT had posted on OSJA communication channels; here is a screenshot where Sam Strong forwarded CLAIMANT's post on OSJA to AFR;



101 as this is a “serious offense” according to RESPONDENT, CLAIMANT anticipates RESPONDENT unilaterally meting equal application of justice for this charge against “sam strong”;

102 during the meeting of 12/10/2024 RESPONDENT was openly hostile against the agenda set; RESPONDENT has already removed Kat from all OSJA communication channels for identical behavior, and RESPONDENT responded in the exact same fashion, by ejecting Kat from the meeting, when she attempted to bring business that was not on the set agenda when RUSA Ohio Governor presented during an OSJA business meeting;

103 when an agenda is set, business is conducted according to Roberts Rules of Order; a motion to censor is not in order before roll call is conducted, and especially not when the presiding officer, i.e. lawful moderator, is moving forward on business when the assembly had already voted to conduct an election in accordance with OSJA by-laws;

104 according to Roberts Rules of Order the only one qualifying for censor would be RESPONDENT who has arrogated himself under the title of nobility “Continental Marshal”, interrupted an election by insurrection, attempting to unilaterally remove duly elected officers and members from OSJA communication channels counter to OSJA bylaws, unlawfully appointed OSJA officers, given interviews defining RUSA as the “lawful republic”, undermined the Ohio Compact by deceiving OSJA members to believe it only applies to the de facto government in spite of the plain language of its text, appointed a board of review when he has no authority to do so, and engaged in seditious conspiracy to conduct an unlawful coup d’etat of the lawfully elected officers of OSJA for his own ends, counter to OSJA by-laws and the Ohio Compact; which are in effect, crimes against not only OSJA, but to all of “The People” in compact throughout The United States of America;

105 @25:17 of the recording for RESPONDENT's unlawful meeting on 12/17/2024, RESPONDENT's unlawful legislature makes a motion to vote on recognizing an office of CM; no definition, role, outline of authority, or qualifications were provided in the establishment of the recognition of the office and "authority" of CM during RESPONDENT's unlawful meeting, If an unlawful state legislature passes a law creating a new government position without following the proper procedures outlined in the state constitution, that position would be considered "no office" due to the unconstitutional action;

106 the motion to recognize the office and "authority" of "Continental Marshal" within OSJA is also an admission by RESPONDENT that he has been operating in a foreign title of nobility capacity for years; and any assertion he had previously made to the validity of its "authority" over, or within OSJA were categorically false, unlawful, and void in law holding no honor;

107 @53:25 of RESPONDENT's unlawful meeting he states he would like to be moderator for OSJA and voted in at the next meeting on January 7th, but there is a "snag" in the by-laws;

108 OSJA by-laws state "To hold an office in the State Jural Assembly the member must be eighteen (18) years of age or older and a member in good standing for six (6) months."; RESPONDENT does not qualify for any OSJA office;

109 RESPONDENT's desire to be moderator for OSJA has been his motivation since interrupting the election on 9/20/2024 and then appearing alongside amanda quimper, while she was conducting OSJA business during her tenure as moderator, while he was operating in a foreign title of nobility capacity as CM and not a member of OSJA;

110 the public expression of RESPONDENT's desire to be moderator for OSJA, while petitioning to make another exception to OSJA by-laws serves as further evidence of RESPONDENT's "mens rea";

111 there is no process for the installment of an "emergency moderator" in OSJA by-laws, especially when the lawfully elected moderator, in this case CLAIMANT, remains in office; discussions on how to unlawfully install an emergency moderator until an unlawfully appointed board of review completes its work, so the by-laws may then be amended to satisfy RESPONDENT's desire to be moderator is laughable at best, and tragic in the damage it has already cost members of OSJA and "The People in compact" of Ohio;

112 @56 min of recording for RESPONDENT's unlawful meeting he states a 3-member board of review has already been selected; "they have no knowledge of this drama, fiasco, or anything that is going on; so what I would like each of you to do, those that were involved in this debacle, is put your truth on paper, and submit it to me (RESPONDENT), or Danielle, and we'll forward it to the board of review; I can't tell you who the board of review is, that's kept secret until they are done, and then we'll let them derive a recommendation, but we also have to give notice, to Cristina, and Ross, and Kat; and to several others like Keith Majors and Michael

Taylor, and some of the other people involved in the debacle that they have the right to give notice also of what they believe is true, and then we'll go from there";

113 RESPONDENT in point #112 above confesses to have unilaterally appointed a 3-member board of review without the authority to appoint one, nor with the proper number of 5 members as required in OSJA by-laws; this confession serves as further evidence of RESPONDENT's mens rea in acting as the de facto moderator for OSJA and implementing his own rules, regardless of what OSJA by-laws state;

114 @57min of RESPONDENT's unlawful meeting he stated, "If all you did was attend the meeting on the 10th of this month (December), then that was a perfect example of terrorism, and dictator, I mean, I never, I've been doing this stuff since 2016, and I've never seen a moderator act in the manner which Ross acted on the 10th, never in my entire career have I ever witnessed that behavior";

115 it is not "terrorism" to maintain order in a meeting being interrupted by RESPONDENT while CLAIMANT moves forward with a set agenda for purposes OSJA had already voted on;

116 @1:01:00 RESPONDENT states he wants to bring a motion to the floor to disassociate with AFR and admits of his knowledge of the "Reciprocal Agreement" with AFR; because "in my personal opinion, they overstepped their bounds; they did not act in a lawful manner; and they violated due process of law";

117 whereas RESPONDENT may disassociate himself from The People in Compact individually only by leaving it's metes and bounds, OSJA does not have the authority to disassociate from The People in Compact, as doing so would be a trespass on the AFR reciprocal agreement and the unalienable image and or rights thereof claimed in the Ohio Compact;

118 Ohio Compact preamble states, "Our God given natural rights [are] endowed upon us, and no inhabitant, person, and or People may remove or trespass upon these rights... The People agree The Law of The Land is The Articles of Confederation of 1781-Present...";

119 AFR telegram is titled "Articles of Confederation" as AFR is the lawfully recognized national authority for The Articles of Confederation of 1781-Present which has ceded authority to The People in Compact of Ohio, whom in turn have delegated legislative authority to OSJA;

120 The People in Compact of Ohio have not ceded nor recognized any authority for OSJA to disassociate the Reciprocal Acknowledgement of Existence; RESPONDENT's intent to disassociate from AFR serves as prima facie evidence of his attempt to change the condition of an entire People and The United States of America in order to serve his own selfish ends;

121 RESPONDENT's intent to disassociate from AFR is also a confession of his knowledge CLAIMANT remained in honor by bringing his grievance to other People in Ohio's Compact on

AFR communication platform insuring free equal speech is keeping within 'The Law of the Land' as expressed by the Ohio Compact;

122 @1:02:43 RESPONDENT admits he received CLAIMANT's initial affidavit the day of the second AFR fact finding meeting on 12/3/2024; other OSJA members, to include danielle boxler-maxwell, were under the impression CLAIMANT's initial affidavit was sent after the 12/3/2024 meeting, which they viewed as a violation of the vote to "hold harmless" all OSJA members, and used as justification to bring up "charges" against CLAIMANT; in addition, CLAIMANT sent danielle boxler-maxwell an email with evidence showing CLAIMANT's initial affidavit was sent prior to the 12/3/2024 meeting with AFR;

123 RESPONDENT was not a member of OSJA when the vote to hold harmless was passed; RESPONDENT waited until this moment on 12/17/2024 to correct the record on the timing of receipt of CLAIMANT's initial affidavit before the 12/3/2024 AFR meeting, and his omission of this fact serves of further evidence of a pattern of self-serving conduct and behavior at the expense of The People and Country, title styled being "The United States of America" which Ohio can not be dissociated from;

124 @1:06:08 RESPONDENT stated: "I will be meeting with the board of review, only acting as a "Continental Marshal" even though I'm not, I left that position, 'cause I've got to give them instructions as to what their job/position is, so they understand what they are trying to do, as a board of review; then I will step out of that and they will never see me again until they conclude their board of review;"

125 here RESPONDENT admits he has no authority of a "Continental Marshal" office and is operating unilaterally as an OSJA member to convene, conduct, and instruct which is tampering with a board of review counter to the Ohio Compact and OSJA by-laws; this confession is further evidence of trespass and harm against CLAIMANT and The People;

126 @1:08:35 RESPONDENT states: "you guys (AFR) have not held up; for their defense they kept going back to the by-laws; and I go those by-laws do not concern you; there was grievances done before the by-laws were brought into the picture; (paraphrasing sean) 'well yeah, but now that they are in the picture you have to capitulate to the by-laws'; I go no we don't their crimes were committed prior to the by-laws coming into play; I go, first of all, you guys failed to conduct an investigation, a lawful investigation of all parties, before you even brought us together, and you failed in that regard;"

127 RESPONDENT's comments are indicative of his complete disregard for OSJA by-laws; in addition, it serves as prima facie evidence RESPONDENT was aware of AFR jurisdiction in these matters, only commenting on his displeasure of how AFR conducted, and continues to conduct, a lawful investigation into his conduct;

128 AFR's fact finding meeting and current investigation were preceded by a review of evidence on the record; RESPONDENT's assertion that evidence be reviewed by AFR prior to

an investigation is also counter to any “charge” of “going outside the jurisdiction of OSJA” by CLAIMANT, or anyone else;

129 RESPONDENT’s own words are grounds to dismiss all charges against CLAIMANT contained in RESPONDENT’s “[Exhibit A]”;

130 recorded evidence exists that AFR succeeded in all points RESPONDENT stated he expects from a lawful investigation; AFR has acted honorably, and continues to conduct a lawful investigation into RESPONDENT;

131 @1:28:20 of RESPONDENT’s unlawful meeting RESPONDENT suggested the form of an affidavit substantiating the “charges” and reasoning for Kat’s removal with the following colloquy:

RESPONDENT: “on such and such date, at our Medina assembly meeting; at whatever time you hold it at; Kat came into our meeting, disgruntled, with an attitude, and she was confronted...”

Other member: “and she was very confrontational...”

RESPONDENT: “yeah, and she was confronted by Lisa and Laura; and Lisa asked her to leave [3 different times], until Flo got in her face; ”

132 RESPONDENT again provides an outline of behavior that he deems justifies expulsion from a meeting; this outline of behavior is nearly identical to what occurred on 12/10/2024; RESPONDENT here justifies CLAIMANT’s actions on 12/10/2024 as lawful, and therefore the “charge” outlined under “[Exhibit A]” subsection IV. B has no force or effect in law, and must be dismissed by any board of review, even if unlawfully convened by RESPONDENT;

133 @1:39:10 of the recording for RESPONDENT’s unlawful meeting, he states:
“What happened with AFR is when we did our bilateral social compact, we took it to them to register on a national platform; I was just good with reading it on the national platform and putting it on the record; but then they wanted a... reciprocity agreement between the parties; I wasn’t in favor of it then; I’m still not in favor of it, and that was only part of it, then there was another agreement they wanted us to enter into also and I said no;”

134 RESPONDENT’s comments serve as prima facie evidence he understood the Ohio Compact, and the signatories thereof, of which he is one, are currently in a reciprocal acknowledgement of existence with the rest of The People in AFR, and therefore RESPONDENT is currently aware OSJA and Ohio are part of AFR therefore AFR has jurisdiction to hear grievances brought by any one of The People in Compact, such as CLAIMANT;

135 RESPONDENT continues @1:40:23 stating:
“in alot of ways I’m on board with what they (AFR) are doing; but when it came to them getting involved with us, that was a mistake, they overstepped their bounds; I do not

care what they (AFR) think, their opinion does not account in our personal affairs of this assembly, and they overstepped their bounds, they should have told Cristina and Ross to go back to OSJA and conduct a board of review there; they failed and so we are not going to be part, in anyway part with them, with that organization; we are going to separate;"

136 RESPONDENT cannot speak on behalf of OSJA, nor The People in compact; his statements and complete disregard for the will of The People as defined in the Ohio Compact serve as further evidence of seditious conspiracy against all of The People of the AFR and all states currently in Reciprocal Acknowledgement of Existence with AFR in perpetual union, such as Ohio;

137 @1:43:07 danielle boxler-maxwell, appointed scribe for RESPONDENT's unlawful meeting on 12/17/2024, recounts events following the 12/3/2024 fact finding meeting, hosted by AFR, that lead to OSJA voting to conduct a lawful election in accordance with OSJA By-Laws and hold harmless all OSJA members, stating:

"and the next morning (12/4/2024) when kat posted, the very first post, she was not in agreement with what we said was a binding contract, so they were not enforcing their own, what they wanted us to do;"

138 this unsolicited comment from danielle boxler-maxwell serves as prima facie evidence it was the understanding of OSJA members their vote to hold a lawful election by paper ballot, recorded and held during a peaceful fact fact finding meeting hosted by AFR on 12/3/2024, was a binding contract to do so by the will of the One People of OSJA;

139 kat did not post on AFR on 12/4/2024, and the mention of 'not enforcing their own, what they wanted us to do' refers to kat and other individual OSJA members, not AFR;

140 in OSJA by-laws under **The Ohio State Jural Assembly Serves These Primary Functions**; it states:

6. Establish a 5 member "Board of Review" for infractions of these by-laws **by any member's(') failure** to live up to this Operation and Functions=by-laws and expectations of the Ohio State Jural Assembly;

141 in OSJA by-laws under **The Current Scope of Review of the Ohio State Jural Assembly is to**: it states;

5. The "Board of Review" shall commence oversight and review of all the abuses perpetrated on We the People at large by the corporate government that has usurped our Republic form of governance.

142 RESPONDENT was not a member of OSJA on 9/20/2024, nor was he a member of the corporate government; therefore, convening a board of review to investigate RESPONDENT for his interruption during the OSJA election on 9/20/2024 was not authorized by OSJA by-laws; the only body that exists authorized to review the actions of RESPONDENT are The People in

compact as defined in the Ohio Compact and AFR's national social compact, both bearing RESPONDENT's signature;

143 RESPONDENT posted Article 10 of the Ohio Compact on AFR's telegram channel: The People agree that in all criminal prosecutions, ***the accused have a right to be heard*** with or without friend in counsel, no foreign association shall be required in counsel; to demand the nature and cause of the accusation; ***to confront the witnesses that has written an Affidavit of testimony of harm; to have compulsory due process of law for obtaining witnesses in favor of the accused; and in all prosecutions by Grand Jury indictment or presentment formed by a Judicial Branch within this Bilateral Social Compact Agreement authority***, a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of peers in accordance to Law of Nations as written by Emerich de Vattel 1758, and this Bilateral Social Compact, where the crime was committed; and shall not be compelled to give evidence against themselves;

144 RESPONDENT provided CLAIMANT none of what Article 10 of the Ohio Compact guarantees; RESPONDENT has violated Article 10 of the Ohio Compact;

145 Under Law of Nations, by Emerich de Vattel 1758, Book I, Chapter II, §16. A nation is under an obligation to preserve itself, Vattel states:
The entire nation is then obliged to maintain that association; and as their preservation depends on its continuance, it thence follows that every nation is obliged to perform the duty of self-preservation. This obligation, so natural to each individual of God's creation, is not derived to nations immediately from nature, but from the agreement by which civil society is formed: it is therefore not absolute, but conditional,—that is to say, it supposes a human act, to wit, the **social compact**;

146 RESPONDENT's threat to disassociate OSJA from the Ohio Compact is a cowardice action against the civil society of "The People" of Ohio (and AFR) he freely entered into;

147 RESPONDENT equates "standing up the republic" with filling interim positions within Republic for the United States of America's (RUSA) framework that will have no force or effect until the current corporate 'de facto' government collapses; RESPONDENT endeavors to 'stand up the republic' at the expense of eliminating association with the very document defining "The People" according to international law for OSJA as a whole;

148 RESPONDENT is in violation of the Ohio Compact Articles 1, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 15, 16, 22, 26, 27, 32, 33, and 59; in addition to OSJA by-laws mentioned throughout this AFFIDAVIT;

IV. Notice to RESPONDENT

This AFFIDAVIT is not intended to injure, defraud, coerce, threaten, intimidate, or deceive, any insurer, one or all RESPONDENTS into compliance; please answer according to your standing and to your truth under the "Flag of Peace" under God with penalty of bearing false witness and perjury;

V. Certification

I, ross: marley, CLAIMANT, with my signature found below, certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of Ohio that the foregoing statement and the facts described herein are based on my firsthand knowledge and are true and correct to the best of my ability;

affiant further sayeth naught;

all rights reserved;

Ross Marley
Affiant/CLAIMANT

01-06-2025
Date

All Rights Reserved,

Before me, appeared ross marley, known to me to be the one whose name is subscribed above, and acknowledged execution of the same for the purposes therein contained.

Witness my hand on this day, January 6, 2025.
[date]

Witness: Rodney Malott
[autograph]

Rodney Malott
[print name]

Witness: Douglas Joseph
[autograph]

Douglas Joseph
[print name]