

Affidavit

COMES NOW, John Mark Adams (hereinafter "affiant") who has reached the age of majority, or twenty-one years old, and is competent to state the following charges, facts, and questions.

This affidavit and other evidence will be published on a public forum that reaches at present sixty-three countries.

Affiant now comes forward sixty days after December 1 st, 2020 with a clear rebuttal, denying all accusations in full, with explanations of why certain actions were taken by this affiant in paragraphs three, four, five, six, seven, eight, nine, and ten as well as points one, two, three and four: and in the Publishing's and audio in dated order section below. Affiant watched the action and actions taken the night of December 1 st , 2020 by the General Post Masters, (hereafter GPM's), and many days following. Thus, the affiant waited to gather all evidence and as many accusations as possible, as the accusations were hard to keep up with, to come forward at a time that was respectful to all; as well as state on the record the facts that happened that was not just from one side of a narrative or charges brought forth, and Affiant has witnessed affidavit's, rebuttals, publishing's, request for records, and videos from a group of ten persons grouped together, (Please see Publishing 5 on page six), not of their own choosing, not being shown for all to see in the proper venue's as well as in a court jacket in the Human Rights Tribunal International. Affiant also witnessed and had others, (those names are confidential due to possible retribution that could be taken), communicate to the affiant that public open discussion was being held before any hearing took place or was withdrawn. Affiant states this is a clear violation of due process. Whether accepted or not the affiant submits this affidavit with a clear concise and resolute mind to move forward in peace. This affiant wishes all lives have the amount of success due them in all they do for the betterment of their self, their family, and the society in which they live and, Affiant notes that there were publishing's concerning the affiant and others before any investigation started. The publishing's created narratives as fact with no evidence to prove what was being stated.

1.) The following link shows that an announcement was made while the American National Union was meeting on December 1 st , 2020. American National Union will be noted hereafter as ANU. This was publishing number 1 below. Affiant listened to a recording in the below list saying that "they could not believe the action that was taken by this affiant or "group". There was no group, no plan of a so-called "coup" to take over the ANU. Not one person had a thought or motive to do such of an action.

2 Copyright © 2021 John Mark Adams, Trustee e9798405-e7b3-47c4-a731-00ac3ec19017

Certified True Copy by document custodian

What would be the gain in doing so? The affiant tried to resign twice in a two-year period, only to be asked to withdraw resignation and continue in the position(s) the affiant volunteered to do. The affiant also begged others to take the positions the affiant volunteered to do. The affiant had no takers and

did not know why at the time.

There was not motive to do this accusation. No investigation could have sought the truth, or it would have known this was a misrepresentation of the facts as there was no meeting of the minds in a civil discourse to get to the whole truth. Any of the ten persons named would have gladly had that conversation if asked in a balanced and fair way.

2.) In this meeting there was a discussion being conducted in the matter of Adam Ben-Canaan on stealing information from Genoa Holdings and use of cannabis, as well as another ANU member KEITH EDWARD LIVINGWAY, PMA through Genoa Holdings. Earlier in this day of December 1 st , 2020 a discussion ensued on the application of Telegram, not owned by any entity other than Telegram, between Adam Ben Canaan and KEITH EDWARD LIVINGWAY, PMA. Telegram was used as one of many communication tools. The affiant started the ANU Telegram group many weeks or months before this event occurred. Each group had to have an owner to start it to begin. The affiant saw most of the chatting conversation on Telegram this same day of December 1 st , 2020 and hoped that a resolution would have happened.

The affiant saw KEITH EDWARD LIVINGWAY, PMA announce that the affiant “did not come to his rescue, and the ANU would now have to handle this situation”. The affiant had called ANU Chairman Jason Westley Hall, (hereafter JWH), about this matter before the meeting. The affiant was not able to reach the then Co-Chairman Christopher Michael Doherty, or then Secretary Michael Adam Fathauer. The affiant discussed with JWH what the best way was to handle the situation on the table of the ANU. The affiant asked JWH if sharing a biblical approach of handling things in private would be acceptable, and if this did not work it would be brought forward in a more public way. JWH agreed.

At a certain point during the normally convened meeting on Tuesday's the affiant began to explain the biblical view of how you handle matters between believers in the Truth, The life, and The Way. I was interrupted by the ANU legal liaison twice during a planned opportunity to resolve this issue. In no way was this an attempt to have a “coup” or take over the ANU. The affiant was trying to stay on track to help resolve the issue on the table of the ANU, then allow the assembly to respond. The affiant

3 Copyright © 2021 John Mark Adams, Trustee e9798405-e7b3-47c4-a731-00ac3ec19017

Certified True Copy by document custodian

had no idea what anyone else was thinking or pursuing. The persistence by the affiant to finish the dialogue was needed to complete what had been discussed with JWH earlier in the evening of December 1 st , 2020. Again, it was in no way of taking any authority or business away from anyone. The suggestion was going to be to ask Adam Ben-Canaan to go into a quiet mode for a period of six months to allow peace to take over.

During this meeting we kept getting reports that something was happening in a meeting with the GPM's. One report was over the publishing of Publishing #1 on page

five. This interfered with the business meeting overall and from that point it did not go well as the assembly did not even get to communicate their desire in this matter. There was no evidence why Publishing #1 was created. At some point later we heard another publishing was done, and after review saw no proven evidence of that publishing as it would have required an investigation for proof. (See Publishing #2 on page five) Before the meeting ended Co-Chair Doherty resigned his position indicating "he did not want to work with communist any longer". The affiant went and re-read the definition of communism and saw no connection whatsoever, unless a narrative was given to the GPM's of what they thought happened.

3.) The next morning of December 2nd, 2020 the affiant awoke to the day at hand and saw no withdrawal of Publishing #1 or 2. Affiant then went into the ANU Telegram account and removed all GPM's as the affiant viewed the publishing of #1 as their request not to participate in the ANU. No discussion was held with the affiant for a briefing of any kind. The affiant did try to call or reach out to others for a clearer explanation and the affiant did not receive any communication for appropriate action or non-action. The affiant saw Publishing #1 and #2 as a clear message to the ANU the intention of the GPM's based on proven evidence that was not brought forward in one hundred percent specificity to render a decision of what these publishing's indicated. In Publishing # 2 everyone in the ANU was broad stroked with the label of criminals, and communist. The affiant as then one officer of the ANU felt it was not his total responsibility to find out what happened. The affiant's phone rang all day long being asked by ANU members what happened. I told them I had no clue. My judgement felt it was better that the then ANU Chairman should step up to find out the facts. Whatever mistake the affiant may have made in the opinion of the leaders of the Government of the United States of America, or the GPM's, the affiant in no way maliciously made any attempt to try and overthrow that government or any other. The thought never entered the affiant's brain, thus how could the affiant be guilty of such an accusation. The affiant soon found out the ANU had been placed in Trust.

4 Copyright © 2021 John Mark Adams, Trustee e9798405-e7b3-47c4-a731-00ac3ec19017

Certified True Copy by document custodian

When affiant saw this the affiant knew as the owner of the group it had to be deleted as it was in Trust. No one communicated that it would come out of Trust the next day. Affiant knew whoever could rebuild the group with no problem. Affiant saw this as no act of Treason, Sedition, or any other crime. Affiant witnessed over two years many mistakes that were made by all and were never called out for these sorts of crimes. The mistakes were fixed, and all moved forward in peace for the most part. The word gaslighting came to mind to the affiant. The affiant thought about this for some time and did some background research on why things like this happen. The affiant had studied this information before, but this new review made so much sense that the affiant has tagged the information at the end of this affidavit so those brave enough to confront this may find out why they should and how to do it in their own

study.

4.) Other accusations with no proof positive were stated in either a publishing, through audio, word of mouth to the affiant's ear, or by written communication, whereas the affiant now gives full rebuttal and denial to all that the affiant can remember.

During a video/audio rebuttal of those who were intentionally grouped together, not of their own making, the affiant gave response to much of what happened stemming from December 1 st , 2020.

The affiant felt tears come forth as the situation was critical to the affiant.

The affiant was generally named a "soy boy", along with others.

o <https://www.dictionary.com/e/slang/soyboy/>

or soy boy or soy-boy [soi-boi] What does soyboy mean?

Associated with the alt-right, soyboy is an insult used online for men seen as effeminate liberals. It comes from the myth that consuming soy products lowers testosterone levels in a man.

The affiant would point out that by calling someone that or saying "No real man does that" is quite ignorant of emotions and how they work as Jesus knew this as fully man and fully God.

o The accusers do believe in The Holy Scriptures, right?

o Are the accusers unstudied in The Holy Scriptures before making such an accusation?

Here is 100 % proof real men cry when needed as The Holy Scriptures point this out sixty times in the Old Testament and 16 times in the New Testament. See the following link. Net-burst.net/hope/weeping.htm
Also, in the Gospel of John Chapter 11 verse 35 Jesus wept himself. The affiant asked now who is a real man or who is immature in their own self?

5 Copyright © 2021 John Mark Adams, Trustee e9798405-e7b3-47c4-a731-00ac3ec19017

Certified True Copy by document custodian

Another accusation came forth that many of the GPM's were surprised at the affiant's actions. This affiant states that getting to know someone is much more than meeting on a phone or zoom call. When a person does not know all the facts or the person very well, they can make any kind of accusation to create a false narrative for another purpose against someone totally oblivious of what they are accused of.

Has that ever happened to you or someone you know? Have you ever been accused of something and knew you were innocent, but were treated guilty anyway?

Yet another accusation came forth to this affiant. The affiant was told "I was too religious, so I had to go". (Paraphrased) (See the following link:

<https://www.tentmaker.org/Dew/Dew1/D1-EtymologyOfReligion.html>)

It means to be in bondage again. The affiant is not in bondage nor does the affiant want anyone to be in bondage. Anything the affiant may have said that made someone feel in bondage was not the intent. Holding each other accountable in a bi-lateral social compact and abiding by the Articles of Conduct from the year 2013 was the intent. The affiant references article 4 and 5 of the aforementioned document.

Finally, one more accusation came forth to the affiant. It was stated in an audio clip that John Mark Adams claimed he was fifty-five years old. Whereas in actuality the affiant is fifty-nine years old. The accusation is false and cannot be proven affiant said that. Affiant is not a liar and has no reason to lie about his age.

o It is easy to prove my age, so why would the affiant lie about it?

In conclusion the affiant submits the following links on pages five through nine as referenced previously for the record. The affiant also references proof of rebuttal and an offer to self-exile early in the time line, and it was rejected. Other affidavits from other persons may come forth when finished for the record.

The affiant further sayeth naught,

Date: January 30 th , 2021

6 Copyright © 2021 John Mark Adams, Trustee e9798405-e7b3-47c4-a731-00ac3ec19017

Certified True Copy by document custodian
Publishing's and audio in dated order

Publishing #1: <https://americanheraldnewspaper.com/2020/12/01/introducing-the-existence-of-the-general-post-union-of-the-united-states-of-america/>

Publishing #2: <https://americanheraldnewspaper.com/2020/12/01/american-national-union-of-the-united-states-of-america-is-hereby-declared-an-illegal-autonomous-zone-within-the-united-states-of-america/>

Publishing #3: <https://americanheraldnewspaper.com/2020/12/02/the-american-national-union-of-the-united-states-of-america-is-hereby-placed-in-trust/>

Publishing #4: <https://americanheraldnewspaper.com/2020/12/04/the-american-national-union-of-the-united-states-of-america-is-now-out-of-trust/>

Audio #1: <https://trohshow.com/2020/12/05/the-205th-broadcast-of-the-t-roh-show/>

Publishing #5: <https://americanheraldnewspaper.com/2020/12/07/the-following-persons-are-under-investigation-for-rebellion-or-insurrection-seditious-conspiracy-advocating-overthrow-of-government/>

Publishing #6: <https://americanheraldnewspaper.com/2020/12/07/international-public-notice-for-valerie-zicaro-and-jason-perez/>

Audio #2: <https://trohshow.com/2020/12/12/the-206th-broadcast-of-the-t-roh-show/>

Audio #3: <https://trohshow.com/2020/12/19/the-207th-broadcast-of-the-t-roh-show/>

Publishing #7: <https://americanheraldnewspaper.com/2021/01/05/john-mark-adams-et-al-indicted-by-true-bill/>

Publishing #8: <https://americanheraldnewspaper.com/2021/01/11/the-national-assembly-cancels-the-trial-on-the-21st-of-january-government-of-the-united-states-of-america-v-john-mark-adams-et-al/>

Publishing 9: <https://americanheraldnewspaper.com/2021/01/18/all-that-is-said-done-and-claimed-by-the-following-list-of-inhabitants-of-the-united-states-is-hereby-rebuked-in-the-name-of-yahushua-jesus/>

7 Copyright © 2021 John Mark Adams, Trustee e9798405-e7b3-47c4-a731-00ac3ec19017

Certified True Copy by document custodian
Proof of rebuttals

There were eight self-exile notices sent in on December 9 th , 2020
not because of guilt, but in the name of peace.

- o Those sent in were from John Mark Adams, Adam Ben-Canaan, Michael Adam Fathauer, Kim Clever, Phu Jon Diep, John-Joseph Bilitz, Justin Yingling, and Jessene Roe Beecroft
- o There were more completed, but not submitted at that time.
- o The proof they were sent.

o Message with the email sent:

- o Proof of Notice and Rebuttal to the Newspaper articles on December 4 th , 2020 to the Government of The United States of America
- o

8 Copyright © 2021 John Mark Adams, Trustee e9798405-e7b3-47c4-a731-00ac3ec19017

Certified True Copy by document custodian
o Proof it was sent for public view

- o Proof of Rebuttal to 205 th T-ROH show by 1 st Audio
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bj1wcKiD79c>
- o Proof of the real Keith Edward Livingway by audio
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PdeilfJFPDA>
- o Proof of Evidence that demands a fair and impartial verdict.
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Eg9v9DpSrdM>
- o Proof of Testimony from Valerie Z. part 1
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4z5qTvu5mHE>
- o Proof Testimony from Valerie Z. part 2
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ePL7VyX3gns&t=33s>

More evidence that demands resolution

The following are specific quotes from Cory Newman, PhD, a professor of psychology at the Perlmutter School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania, or from Stephanie Eckelkamp who writes for Prevention.com.

“Not every self-centered jerk in your life is a true narcissist. But there is a tipping point you can try to spot”. “A narcissist, by definition, is someone with a pervasive pattern of grandiosity, need for admiration, and lack of empathy, whose symptoms begin in early adulthood,” says Cory Newman, PhD.

9 Copyright © 2021 John Mark Adams, Trustee e9798405-e7b3-47c4-a731-00ac3ec19017

Certified True Copy by document custodian